1. **1)  Define the problem**

a) Do this in *your own words.*b) What insight can you offer into the problem that is not immediately visible from

the word problem alone? c) What is the overall goal?

1. 2)  **Break the problem apart**a) What are the constraints? b) What are the sub-goals?
2. 3)  **Identify potential solutions**a) For each of the sub-problems you’ve discussed in #2, what is a possible solution?
3. **4)  Evaluate each potential solution**

a) Does each solution meet the goals?

b) Will each solution work for ALL cases?

1. **5)  Choose a solution and develop a plan to implement it.**

a) Explain the solution in full.  
b) Describe some test cases you tried out to make sure it works. (You can include

drawings and diagrams as part of your explanation as long as they are clearly communicating the solution).

QUESTION 1: A Cat, a Parrot, and a Bag of Seed:

A man finds himself on a riverbank with a cat, a parrot and a bag of seed. He needs to transport all three to the other side of the river in his boat. However, the boat has room for only the man himself and one other item (either the cat, parrot or seed). In his absence, the cat could eat the parrot, and the parrot would eat the bag of seed. Show how he can get all the passengers to the other side, without leaving the wrong ones alone together.

**Define the Problem:** The man needs to transport the 2 animals and the bag of seed to the other side, but only has room for himself and one item. If he leaves the 2 animals together, the cat will eat the bird, and if he leaves the bird and seed together the bird will eat the seed.

**Break the Problem Down:**

Constraints: We cannot leave the bird and cat together and we cannot leave the bird and seed together.

However the problem says that the cat “could” eat the bird, not “would” eat the bird. That being said, the problem does say that the bird “would” eat the seed. So we have a better chance of leaving the bird and cat alone at any given time.

**Identify Potential Solutions:**

We can possibly take the bird to the other side first, leaving the cat and seeds on the other side. But then any item taken after that would be affected when taken onto the other side.

If we take the cat the bird “**will**” eats the seed.

If we take the seed, the cat **“may”** the bird

If we take the bird it is safe, everything is safe until you choose what to take next. Then either the bird **may** be at risk if you take the cat, or the seed **is** at risk if you take the seed across after the bird.

**Evaluate:**

There is no potential way to get everything across safely at a 100% probability unless the man is able to put an item on his own person. For example inside of his pocket he can put the seed if it is small enough.

However, according to how the question is worded we may be able to take the bird first, leaving the seed and cat with no risk to each other. Then we should take the cat second because it is not with certainty that the cat will eat the bird. The problem clearly states that the cat “**may”** eat the bird. That tells us that the cat may or may not eat the bird. However the problem does clearly state that the bird “**would”,** or absolutely for certain eat the seed.

**Choose a Solution:** I believe with the given constraints, the method with the least probability of failure is first taking the bird across, and then the cat, and then the seed. This is because it will have the least probability of failure since the bird will only be at risk while we go back for the seed.